NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

Mathematics PhD Qualifying Exam Paper 4 Stochastic Processes and Machine Learning

(August 2023)

Time allowed : <u>3 hours</u>

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- 1. Please write your matriculation/student number only. Do not write your name.
- 2. Including this page, the examination paper comprises 4 printed pages.
- 3. This examination contains **FIVE** (5) questions. Answer all of them. **Properly justify** your answers.
- 4. There is a total of **ONE HUNDRED** (100) points. The points for each question are indicated at the beginning of the question.
- 5. At the top right corner of every page of your answer script, write the question and page numbers (eg. Q1 P1, Q1 P2, Q2 P1, . . .).
- 6. Please start each part of a question (i.e., (a), (b), etc.) on a new page. Answer all parts of a question together.
- 7. This is an OPEN BOOK exam. No electronic device (such as calculator, tablet, laptop or phone) is allowed. You need to have your reference materials in hard copy with you.
- 8. A list containing information on the probability density / mass function, mean, variance and moment generating functions of some common distributions has been provided on the other side for possible consultation.

Q1 (20 points) Let $[N] = \{1, ..., N\}$ and S_k be the collection of all subsets of [N] of size k, where $1 \le k \le N$.

We define a random dynamics on S_k as follows. We start with $\mathbb{X}_0 := \{1, \ldots, k\} \in S_k$. For $n \geq 1$, we obtain \mathbb{X}_n from \mathbb{X}_{n-1} in the following manner. With probability 1/2, we leave \mathbb{X}_{n-1} unchanged (i.e., set $\mathbb{X}_n = \mathbb{X}_{n-1}$), and with probability 1/2 we exchange one element of \mathbb{X}_{n-1} (chosen uniformly at random) with one element of $[N] \setminus \mathbb{X}_{n-1}$ (also chosen uniformly at random).

For any subset $A \subseteq [N]$, we define the mean $\mathcal{M}(A) = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{x \in A} x$, and the correlation function $\rho_n(A) := \mathbb{P}[A \subseteq \mathbb{X}_n].$

- (a) (6 points) Justify that the limits in parts (b) and (c) below exist.
- (b) (7 points) Calculate the limiting mean $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_n)]$.
- (b) (7 points) For any fixed subset $A \subseteq [N]$, calculate the limiting correlation function $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho_n(A)$.

Q2 (20 points) Let χ_1, \ldots, χ_n be sets, and $f : \chi_1 \times \ldots \times \chi_n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ there is a $\Delta_i > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x,y\in\chi_i} |f(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n) - f(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},y,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)| \le \Delta_i$$

for all possible choices $x_j \in \chi_j; j \neq i$.

Let $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ be random variables, with each X_i taking value in the set χ_i . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, consider the random variables $Y_i := \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \ldots, X_n)|X_1, \ldots, X_i]$.

- (a) (6 points) Show that $|Y_i Y_{i-1}| \le \Delta_i$ a.s., $\forall 1 \le i \le n$.
- (b) (4 points) Show that, for $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|f(X_1,\ldots,X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1,\ldots,X_n)]| \ge t] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^2}\right).$$

(b) (10 points) Consider the standard square lattice Z² endowed with edges connecting neighbouring points, i.e. the point (x, y) ∈ Z² is connected by an edge each to the points (x ± 1, y ± 1). Suppose each edge e in this graph is endowed with a random weight w(e) that is a uniform random variable in the interval [0, 1]; the random weights are i.i.d. across the edges. An upright path in this graph is a directed path that starts from the origin (0,0) and moves to a neighbouring lattice site either upwards (ie due north) or to the right (ie due east). For an upright path P of finite length, we define the weight w(P) := ∑_{e∈Edges(P)} w(e). For n ∈ Z, let the random variable W_n denote the maximum weight of an upright path from (0,0) to (n, n). Show that, for t ≥ 0 we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|W_n - \mathbb{E}[W_n]| \ge t] \le 2\exp(-\frac{t^2}{2n}).$$

Q3 (10 points) Let \mathbb{D} be the closed unit disk in \mathbb{R}^2 , with centre 0 and radius 1. Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ be random points that are distributed uniformly and independently in \mathbb{D} . Consider the random set $\mathbb{A}_n \subset \mathbb{D}$ consisting of all points $z \in \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbb{D}$ that are closer to 0 than to any of the points $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$. Calculate $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Area}(\mathbb{A}_n)]$.

Q4 (25 points) Consider a binary classification problem with a training sample $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, ..., n\}$ and a predictor \hat{h}_n obtained as the output of some learning algorithm, i.e. $\hat{h}_n = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{A} is the algorithm (e.g. SGD for neural networks) and \mathcal{H} is the hypothesis class.

Given the training data and the hypothesis space, the generalization risk is given by $R(h_n) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)\sim\mu}\left[1_{Y\neq\hat{h}_n(X)}\right]$, where μ is the underlying probability distribution from which \mathcal{D} is sampled. The risk $R(\hat{h}_n)$ is a random variable that depends on \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{H} . Assume that the predictor \hat{h}_n is consistent with data \mathcal{D} , that is $R_{emp}(\hat{h}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{y_i \neq \hat{h}_n(x_i)\}} = 0$. We are interested in its tail distribution, i.e. finding a bound which holds with large probability:

$$\mathbb{P}(R(\hat{h}_n) \ge \epsilon) \le \delta.$$

The basic idea is to set the probability of being misled to δ and find a suitable ϵ to the satisfy the inequality above.

Consider the case of finite hypothesis space $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}$ for some $m \ge 1$.

• (a) (10 points) Show that for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$R(\hat{h}_n) \le \frac{\log(m) + \log(\frac{1}{\delta})}{n}$$

• (b) (15 points) Now we want to assign a weight $w_h \in (0, 1)$ to each of the predictors $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} w_h = 1$. By carefully choosing ϵ such that $\mathbb{P}(R(\hat{h}_n) \geq \epsilon) \leq w_h \delta$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, show that for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$R(\hat{h}_n) \le \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} w_h}\right) + \log(\frac{1}{\delta})}{n}.$$

Compare this bound with the one in question (a). Give an interpretation to the result.

Q5 (25 points)

Consider a discrete-time Markov decision process with finite state space S and action space A. We use the usual notation of $\{S_t, A_t, R_t\}$ to denote the state, action and reward at time t respectively. Let the transition probability kernel be $p(s', r \mid s, a) = P[S_{t+1} = s', R_{t+1} = r \mid S_t = s, A_t = a]$.

- (a) (2 points) Define the value function v_{π} with respect to a policy π . You may assume that we consider a discount rate of $0 < \gamma < 1$ when computing the returns.
- (b) (3 points) Write down the Bellman's optimality equation that the value function corresponding to an optimal policy should satisfy.
- (c) (10 points) Show that there exists a unique solution to the Bellman's optimality equation.
- (d) (10 points) Is an optimal policy always unique ? If so, prove this statement. If not, give a counterexample.

— End of Paper —

- Bernoulli (p) : $\mathbb{P}(X = i) = \begin{cases} p \text{ if } i = 1\\ 1 - p \text{ if } i = 0. \end{cases}$ $\mathbb{E}[X] = p, \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = p(1 - p), \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = (1 - p) + pe^{t}.$ • Binomial (n,p): $\mathbb{P}(X = i) = \binom{n}{i}p^{i}(1 - p)^{n - i}; 0 \le i \le n.$ $\mathbb{E}[X] = np, \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = np(1 - p), \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = [(1 - p) + pe^{t}]^{n}.$ • Geometric (p) : $\mathbb{P}(X = i) = (1 - p)^{i - 1}p; i \ge 1.$ $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = \frac{1 - p}{p^{2}}, \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \frac{pe^{t}}{1 - (1 - p)e^{t}} \text{ for } t < -\log(1 - p).$ • Poisson (λ): $\mathbb{P}(X = i) = e^{-\lambda \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!}}; i \ge 1.$ $\mathbb{E}[X] = \lambda, \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = \lambda, \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \exp(\lambda(e^{t} - 1)).$
- Uniform (a,b) : $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \le x \le b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $\mathbb{E}[X] = (a+b)/2, \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}, \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \frac{e^{tb}-e^{ta}}{t(b-a)} & \text{if } t \ne 0. \end{cases}$
- Uniform on the square $(a, b) \times (c, d)$: $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \text{ if } a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d \\ 0 \text{ otherwise }. \end{cases}$
- Uniform on the disk in \mathbb{R}^2 with centre z_0 and radius r: $f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} & \text{if } |z - z_0| \leq r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- Normal / Gaussian $(N(\mu, \sigma^2))$: $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})$. $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$, $\operatorname{Var}[X] = \sigma^2$, $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \exp(\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2)$.
- Exponential (λ) :

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) \text{ if } x > 0\\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbb{E}[X] = 1/\lambda, \quad \operatorname{Var}[X] = 1/\lambda^2, \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} \text{ for } t < \lambda.$$